[No. 2].

Rev. S. K. L. Leicester, Sept. 20. 1844.

Dear Sir,

I wrote to you more than a fortnight ago, asking information on certain points touching the Reply voted by American Unitarian Ministers to be sent to the Address on Slavery from Gt. Br.n & Ireland. To that letter I have never received any reply, — for which I know not how to account. In a residence of ten years in this place I have never known a letter, sent by mail, to be lost between this town & Boston, though sometimes there may be a delay of several days in the reception of letters. I was very desirous to obtain the information I sought in season to reply to a letter (alluded to in my first letter to you) by the Steamship which sailed last Monday. Having been disappointed in this, and being still very desirous to learn y.r fact in y.r case (and I suppose no one will say that I may not properly inquire about them) I will repeat my questions, with perhaps some others, respectfully asking of you a reply to them, at your earliest convenience.

Has y.r Reply in question been forwarded to its destination? If so, at what time? To what address was it sent? I should also be glad to know, if you can conveniently inform me, y.r number of y.r names attached to y.r Reply.

I am, Your very truly
S. K. L.

Copy.

{Dated either 2d or 3d of Sept.}

Rev. J. R. Lathrop.

Dear Sir,

By the last Steamship from England I have received a letter from a gentleman (a Unit. Minister) in which he says that the Reply to their Address to Pr. Amer. Unit. Minister on Pr. subject of Slavery has never been rec'd. in England; or, if rec'd, never made public there. He informs me that this delay has given rise to Pr. opinion, indirectly expressed in one of Pr. Unitarian periodicals, that the Address had met only with contempt & neglect from Pr. Amer. Unit.

Will you have Pr. kindness to inform me when Pr. Reply was sent, by what channel, and to whom; and also (if you can conveniently ascertain Pr. fact) Pr. number & signers thereto. Please excuse, &c. &c.